

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 EASTERN DIVISION

11 DEANDRE DANNY JONTE
12 BARNES,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15
16 LOS ANGELES SHERIFF
17 DEPARTMENT, et al,

18 Defendants.
19

Case No. 2:23-cv-06445-ODW (DTB)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
REGARDING FAILURE TO
UPDATE ADDRESS**

20 On August 7, 2023, plaintiff filed a Civil Rights Complaint (“Complaint”)
21 herein along with a Request to Proceed Without Prepayment of Filing Fees (“IFP
22 Application”). (Dkt. Nos. 1-2.) On August 28, 2023, the Court postponed the ruling
23 on plaintiff’s IFP Application. (Dkt. # 3.) On September 18, 2023, plaintiff amended
24 his IFP Application and the Court granted the same on October 17, 2023. (Dkt. Nos.
25 5-6.) On October 17, 2023, the Court issued its Initial Case Management Order.
26 (Dkt. # 7.) On November 1, 2023, the Court issued its Screening Order. (Dkt. # 8.)
27 The matter was transferred to this Court’s calendar on November 22, 2023. (Dkt. #
28

1 9.) On December 27, 2023, as plaintiff had not responded to the Court's Screening
2 Order, the Court, *sua sponte*, extended plaintiff's time within which to do so. (Dkt.
3 # 10.) On January 2, 2024, plaintiff filed Correspondence and a Motion to Preserve
4 My Civil Rights and Waive Complaint. (Dkt. Nos. 11-12.) On January 12, 2024,
5 the Court received its December 27, 2023 Order returned from the institution marked
6 "Released." (Dkt. # 14.) On January 16, 2024, the Court issued an Order regarding
7 plaintiff's Correspondence and Motion filed on January 2, 2024. (Dkt. # 15.) On
8 January 29, 2024, the Court received its January 16, 2024 Order returned from the
9 institution marked "Released." (Dkt. # 17.) A review of the Los Angeles County
10 Inmate Locator's website¹ revealed that plaintiff was released on January 4, 2024
11 and was no longer in custody. Therefore, on February 12, 2024, the Court issued an
12 Order re Plaintiff's Mailing Address. (Dkt. # 18.) On February 15, 2024, plaintiff
13 filed a Notice of Change of Address. (Dkt. # 19.) On February 26, 2024, the Court's
14 February 12, 2024 Order re Plaintiff's Mailing Address was returned from the
15 institution marked "Released." (Dkt. # 20.) On the same day, the Court issued an
16 Order extending plaintiff's time to respond to the Screening Order due to his recent
17 release and the return of mail. (Dkt. # 21.) In March 2024, the Court again received
18 mail (Dkt. Nos. 10, 12, 13, 15 and 21) returned from the United States Postal Service
19 marked as either "Return to Sender Not Deliverable as Addressed" or
20 "Return to Sender Unable to Forward." (Dkt. Nos. 23-27.) On March 25, 2024, the
21 Court issued an Order directing the Clerk to remove plaintiff's booking number from
22 his address and provide plaintiff with duplicate copies of all documents that have
23 been returned as undeliverable. (Dkt. # 28.) On May 2, 2024, plaintiff a "Motion
24 to Notified" (Dkt. # 30), which the Court construed as a request to update address
25 and which the Court granted on May 6, 2024 updating plaintiff's address and again,
26


27 ¹ <https://app5.lasd.org/iic>
28

1 *sua sponte*, extending his time to respond to the Screening Order (Dkt. # 31). On
 2 May 24, 2024, plaintiff filed a “Civil Rights Complaint” “Motion Government Tort,”
 3 listing multiple case numbers. As such, the Clerk’s Office issued a discrepancy
 4 notice (Dkt. # 33). On May 30, 2024, the Court issued an Order regarding plaintiff’s
 5 “Civil Rights Complaint” “Motion Government Tort” advising plaintiff that it
 6 appeared to the Court that plaintiff was intending to file a new complaint which the
 7 Court struck on May 30, 2024 and again, *sua sponte*, extended plaintiff’s time to
 8 respond to the Screening Order. (Dkt. Nos. 32, 34.) On June 17, 2024, the Court
 9 received its discrepancy notice returned from the institution marked as “Return to
 10 Sender Not Deliverable as Addressed.” (Dkt. # 35.) A review of the Los Angeles
 11 County Inmate Locator’s website reveals that plaintiff was released on May 16, 2024
 12 and is no longer in custody.

13 Pro se litigants are required to keep the Court and opposing parties apprised
 14 of their current address. Central District of California Local Rule 41-6. Therefore,
 15 plaintiff is ordered to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack
 16 of prosecution and failing to comply with the Court’s Local Rules. Plaintiff may
 17 alternatively file a Notice of Change of Address to discharge this Order to Show
 18 Cause. Plaintiff’s response is due no later than **July 12, 2024**.

19 **Plaintiff is hereby cautioned that failing to comply with this Order may**
 20 **result in the recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to**
 21 **prosecute and failure to comply with the Court’s Local Rules.**

22 Dated: June 24, 2024

23 
 24 DAVID T. BRISTOW
 25 United States Magistrate Judge
 26
 27
 28